





# From Nuclei to Nebulae: The Scientific Journey of Vera Kistiakowsky

by Lindley Winslow



Professor Vera Kistiakowsky was a climber—of summits and of scientific frontiers. An experimental nuclear and particle physicist, she became the first woman appointed to the MIT physics faculty, forging a path at a time when women in science were few and far between. Her career was defined not only by the barriers she broke, but by a deep and enduring commitment to experimental inquiry—a passion that carried her from the chemistry lab to the bubble chamber, and ultimately, to the stars.

Professor of Physics  
Vera Kistiakowsky.  
Credit: MIT Museum

## From chemistry kits to the Manhattan Project

Kistiakowsky's introduction to science began not in a classroom, but at home, with a childhood chemistry set. Her father, well-known Harvard chemist George Kistiakowsky, showed her a few entertaining chemical reactions, including one memorable favorite: synthesizing low boiling point fluids that, as she gleefully recalled, "you could set your clothes on fire and scare other peoples' mothers into fits." In high school, balancing her academic strengths with more conventional aspirations, she initially set her sights on medicine, believing it to be a practical and respectable application of her interests in science.

She began college at Mount Holyoke just before her sixteenth birthday. Her father believed that Mount Holyoke, with its strong and independent faculty of women scientists, would offer a more substantive education, especially during wartime. Chief among them was Emma Carr, a pioneering spectroscopist whose warmth, energy, and passion for research made a lasting impression on Kistiakowsky. Inspired by Carr and eager for hands-on experience, Kistiakowsky quickly shifted from pre-med to a chemistry major. Yet even as she embraced laboratory work, she began to sense the limits of her enthusiasm: the meticulous routines of analytical chemistry left her cold—"bubbles in burettes and ashes in crucibles and all kinds of misfortunes like that," she later quipped. Still, Mount Holyoke provided a rare environment where women's intellectual ambitions were expected and supported.

During this time, Kistiakowsky also had an extraordinary window into wartime science. When George Kistiakowsky was recruited to lead the explosives division of the Manhattan Project, his daughter received a rare dispensation to spend summers at the top-secret Los Alamos laboratory. While most teenagers spent their summers working or attending camp, Kistiakowsky rode horses across the New Mexico mesas and absorbed the atmosphere of a scientific enterprise unlike anything she had encountered—intense, collaborative, and cloaked in secrecy. She knew the work was important, and that it involved physics and chemistry, but not exactly what was being built until much later. "My father would point out that this gentleman was Niels Bohr and that gentleman was Enrico Fermi," she recalled, "and I should pay attention because they were very famous physicists. But I think I paid more attention to my horse." Even so, the experience left a mark. It broadened her understanding of what science could be—its urgency, its scale, its human dimensions.

## PhD in nuclear chemistry

In 1948 Kistiakowsky arrived at the University of California, Berkeley to begin graduate work in nuclear chemistry—joining one of the most intense and competitive research environments in the country. Coming from the supportive, all-women setting of Mount Holyoke, she found the transition daunting. Berkeley was filled with returning servicemen and wartime scientists, many with extensive practical experience. "Academically, I was not terribly

"My father would point out that this gentleman was Niels Bohr and that gentleman was Enrico Fermi, and I should pay attention because they were very famous physicists. But I think I paid more attention to my horse."

VERA KISTIAKOWSKY

well-prepared...and it was a very painful first year there,” she later recalled. She was young and struggled with the pace of coursework and the rigor of the qualifying exams, but encouragement from fellow students and her own stubbornness kept her going. She joined Glenn Seaborg’s lab—a soon-to-be Nobel Prize winner and a powerhouse in actinide and transuranic research. Like many of Seaborg’s students, she saw him only occasionally; direct supervision was limited, and much of the day-to-day work required self-direction, persistence, and technical ingenuity.

As a graduate student in that setting, Kistiakowsky undertook the challenging task of identifying and characterizing the radioactive decay of several promethium (Pm) isotopes—an element with no stable isotopes and only trace amounts found in nature. Her 1952 paper, based on her PhD thesis, reported the half-lives and radiation energies of Pm-141, Pm-142, Pm-143, Pm-144, Pm-146, Pm-149, and Pm-150. These were produced through proton and helium-ion bombardments of isotopically enriched neodymium and praseodymium oxides. The work involved intricate chemical separations and beta- and gamma-ray spectroscopy to tease apart complex decay chains, occasionally correcting earlier misidentifications in the literature. These isotopes were of particular interest for testing and refining the nuclear shell model, especially in the region just beyond the  $N=82$  closed neutron shell, where promethium’s anomalous absence in nature suggested structural instabilities. Kistiakowsky’s careful measurements helped fill in critical gaps in the chart of nuclides and contributed to a more nuanced understanding of nuclear stability. Reflecting on the experience later, “So, the physics part was very interesting....The chemistry parts and I didn’t work out too well together.”

### Transition to experimental nuclear physics

Following her PhD, Kistiakowsky took a position at the United States Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory (NRDL) in San Francisco—a defense-oriented lab focused on the effects of radiation, but one that afforded limited opportunities for original research. Undeterred, she used her own time to return to Berkeley, where she produced samples of Pm-150 using the cyclotron and studied their decay using equipment she had access to in the Radiation Laboratory. “So that was sort of the first piece of postdoctoral research I did, to really run that into the ground,” she later recalled. What made this possible was the emergence of a powerful

new research tool: sodium iodide (NaI) scintillation detectors, which enabled a new level of precision in gamma-ray spectroscopy. With these, she built and deployed a custom pulse-height analyzer—pioneering techniques that bridged her earlier radiochemistry training with the more electronics-driven experimental physics she would embrace going forward. This transitional period, marked by both institutional constraint and technical innovation, shaped her identity as an experimental physicist and demonstrated the initiative she would carry throughout her career.

A postdoctoral fellowship gave Kistiakowsky the opportunity to work with the Alvarez group at the UC-Berkeley Radiation Laboratory—one of the most prestigious and innovative experimental physics groups of the time, led by future Nobel laureate Luis Alvarez. Immersed in this dynamic environment, she studied short-lived isotopes with atomic numbers between 60 and 82—a region rich in closed nuclear shells and metastable states. “These were really very short-lived,” she recalled, “so I had a slide mechanism that held a target of rare earths....At the end of a short period of time, I released the slide, and the whole thing would drop down in front of my detectors.” This custom-designed timing system allowed her to measure the decay of isotopes with half-lives ranging from a few seconds to a couple of minutes—too fleeting for conventional techniques. Bombarding rare-earth oxide targets with 31.5 MeV protons from the lab’s linear accelerator, she used sodium iodide scintillation detectors to capture gamma spectra and construct decay curves. The work, published in 1955, identified several new metastable states—Re-180m, Ir-191m, Au-193m, Pb-201m and Pb-203m—and contributed valuable data to the growing understanding of nuclear structure near closed shells. It also marked her transition into physics-centered experimentation, blending her radiochemical foundation with the electronic and timing techniques that were reshaping the study of fundamental particles.

### Climbing in energy

At Columbia University (1954–1959), Kistiakowsky completed her transformation from nuclear chemist to full-fledged experimental physicist. She was initially hired to do nuclear chemistry, “I was hired as a chemist, and I discovered I didn’t like chemistry any better than I had before.” With the quiet support of Chien-Shiung Wu—a titan of nuclear physics and a pioneer in the discovery of parity violation—she shifted her focus

toward experimental physics. “She was very good in supporting me in my efforts to become independent.... I think it was just that she was a very honorable person.” In Wu’s demanding yet supportive group, Kistiakowsky began designing her own experiments and acquiring the technical fluency that would define her career.

That fluency translated into increasingly ambitious work, as she moved to higher energies and more sophisticated instrumentation. She played a central role in developing tools such as  $\Delta E$ – $E$  telescopes for charged-particle identification, solenoid-based beta spectrometers, and pulse-height analyzers integrated with early magnetic-core memory systems. This was used to measure the angular distribution of products of reactions in light nuclei using 10–50 MeV beams at Brookhaven National Lab. Reflecting on this period, she described her trajectory as “a climb in energy,” a steady ascent toward finer experimental resolution and deeper insight: “It was giving you a somewhat finer resolution device to study the nuclear force with. That was what I was doing while I was at Columbia.”

### Return to Massachusetts

In 1959, Vera Kistiakowsky moved back to Massachusetts to take a faculty position at Brandeis University—a move that enabled both her and her husband to secure academic appointments and came as they were starting their family. Though the department was newly established and broadly focused, she began “looking around” for work that aligned with her deepening interest in particle physics. This led her to a collaborative bubble chamber experiment with groups from Harvard, Brown, and MIT. “So that sort of was my first independent piece of high-energy physics research,” she later recalled. The experiment used a large bubble chamber filled with a high-density liquid to study the neutral decays of the  $\Lambda^0$  hyperon and the  $K_1^0$  meson. Bubble chambers captured the tracks of particles as trails of bubbles in superheated liquid, allowing researchers to visually reconstruct decay events. Kistiakowsky spent long hours at scanning tables, examining film and tracing particle paths by hand. Her work helped determine the branching ratios of these particles, offering new insight into the nature of these particles and solidifying her place in the world of high-energy experimental physics.

### MIT Laboratory for Nuclear Science

By 1963, Kistiakowsky found herself at a crossroads. Seeking greater flexibility to balance the needs of a young family and an environment where she could

“Doing a bubble chamber run is fun, and getting the physics out of the data is fun.”

VERA KISTIAKOWSKY

focus fully on experimental physics, she spoke with her collaborator at MIT, Professor of Physics Irwin Pless, about the possibility of joining MIT’s Laboratory for Nuclear Science. His response was quick and enthusiastic: “Yes, immediately, if not sooner.”

Kistiakowsky’s move to MIT in 1963 coincided with a transformative era in high-energy physics—a time when new accelerators and detector technologies were uncovering a flood of new hadrons, and physicists worked to make sense of them all, laying the groundwork for the Standard Model. As a staff researcher in the Laboratory for Nuclear Science, she had, in her words, “complete freedom to do research,” unburdened by teaching or departmental politics. The work was intensely hands-on and collaborative, with physicists, postdocs, and students gathering around scanning tables to analyze thousands of bubble chamber photographs in search of rare events. She later recalled simply, “Doing a bubble chamber run is fun, and getting the physics out of the data is fun.” During this period, she built a prolific career in hadronic physics. Her technical acumen and leadership led to her promotion to senior research scientist in 1969, and in 1973, Irwin Pless—recognizing her stature—put her forward for a faculty position, with strong support from colleagues like Bob Hulsizer. She was appointed that year, becoming the first woman on the MIT physics faculty and ultimately co-authoring more than 70 publications in this area over her career at MIT.

## Advocacy and institutional change

In the 1970s, Kistiakowsky emerged as a national advocate for women in science, helping to found the American Physical Society's Committee on the Status of Women in Physics (CSWP) in 1971 and served as its first chair. The committee became a force for change within the physics community, publishing several high-profile reports and articles that documented the underrepresentation and systemic obstacles faced by women in physics. These efforts helped establish a framework for action. Years later, in 1988, she was a founder of the *MIT Faculty Newsletter* in response to the abrupt, controversial closure of the Department of Applied Biological Sciences—an act that exemplified her commitment to transparency, shared governance, and the principle that faculty should have a voice in institutional decisions.

## B physics, Cornell, and planetary nebulae

During a sabbatical year at Cornell in 1981–82, Kistiakowsky joined the CLEO collaboration just as the Cornell Electron Storage Ring (CESR) was coming online. CESR was the first of a new generation of precision electron-positron colliders—machines that would evolve into the B factories and now inspire visions of future Higgs factories. Its high luminosity and clean environment made it ideal for exploring heavy quark dynamics with unprecedented detail. It was a particularly productive year for Kistiakowsky, leading to results on a range of topics from charm-quark fragmentation to transitions in the upsilon system. Most notably, she contributed to an early accelerator search for axions—hypothetical particles proposed by theorists including Frank Wilczek to explain the absence of CP violation in strong interactions. The work helped set early limits on axion models, which have since become a major focus of research as the axion remains one of the most well-motivated dark matter candidates.

Disillusioned with the direction of high-energy physics—particularly the increasing scale of experiments and the difficulty of securing NSF funding for B-physics within the DOE-centric Laboratory for Nuclear Science—Kistiakowsky made a decisive shift to observational astronomy. She focused on planetary nebulae as markers of stellar evolution and Galactic structure, turning to a style of research that was smaller in scale and more personal. Working with David Helfand, she conducted her own hands-on observations at the Michigan-Dartmouth-MIT Observatory on Kitt Peak, using narrowband near-infrared imaging centered on [S III] emission lines. Their surveys revealed dozens of previously unidentified planetary nebulae obscured by interstellar dust near the Galactic plane. This work not only demonstrated the power of [S III] as a tracer of distant, reddened nebulae, but also marked a return to the direct, hands-on, small-team research that had defined the most satisfying chapters of her scientific life.

## Among giants

Vera Kistiakowsky transitioned to emeritus status in 1994 but remained active and adventurous, continuing to trek in Nepal well into her later years. Over a five-decade career that stretched from the early days of nuclear chemistry to the precision era of high-energy physics and into observational astronomy, she helped shape multiple fields while mentoring generations of scientists. She worked in an era of giants—towering figures who reshaped our understanding of the physical world—and she climbed among them, both literally and figuratively. Her legacy lives on in the experiments she built, the institutions she helped transform, and the physicists she trained. Asked once whether building a complex scattering chamber had been difficult, she answered with characteristic clarity and delight: “No. It’s fun.”

PROFESSOR LINDLEY WINSLOW is Associate Department Head, MIT Department of Physics, an experimental nuclear and particle physicist, and as a teenager, she would probably have preferred her horse to famous physicists, too. The author thanks Profs. Edmund Bertschinger, Ruth Perry, Jean Jackson, and Paul Schechter for their help on this piece. A special thank you to Prof. Karen Fischer of Brown University for their memories of their mother and additional materials from which this piece was drawn. Quotes by Prof. Kistiakowsky are from Shirlee Sherkow's interview of Kistiakowsky for the MIT Oral History Project in 1976.